

REMARKS:

In the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-10. Claims 1, 9 and 10 are amended herein, and new claim 11 is added. No new matter is presented. Thus, claims 1-11 are pending and under consideration. The rejections are traversed below.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102(b):

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,052,714 (Miike).

Miike provides excerpts of articles to users by calculating similarities between the articles and a user-profile that includes predetermined themes or topics. For example, Miike searches articles required by a user and extracts words included in the articles based on the user's prescribed words to be searched (see, col. 3, lines 46-48, FIGS. 6A, 6B and 7). That is, the articles are not customized or adapted to the user; instead excerpts having the prescribed words are selected and provided to the user (i.e., same article content provided to all users).

In contrast, the present invention adaptively changes or customizes information including values thereof based on user input conditions and predetermined conditions of the information. This is unlike Miike because content or value of the information provided changes with respect to each user.

Independent claim 1 as amended recites, "storing environmental conditions that are predetermined conditions [and] described as a calculation or a formula" and "structuring, in conformity with the environmental conditions, detailed information related to the event including customizing values of the information with respect to the user in accordance with the user conditions".

Independent claim 9 as amended recites, "applying user set conditions that are specific to the user to predetermined conditions defined by an information provider to customize the information including values thereof", where the predetermined conditions are "described as a calculation or a formula".

Similarly, independent claim 10 as amended recites, "conditions described as a calculation or a formula by the information provider" for customizing the data "including values thereof" from the information provider responsive to a request from a user and presenting the same to the user.

Miike does not teach or suggest, "conditions described as a calculation or a formula" for customizing data or information "including values thereof" to adapt the data with respect to users, as recited in each of the independent claims 1, 9 and 10.

It is submitted that the independent claims 1, 9 and 10 are patentable over Miike.

For at least the above-mentioned reasons, claims depending from the independent claims are patentably distinguishable over Miike. The dependent claims are also independently patentable. For example, as recited in claim 6, "with the change of the environmental conditions, the structuring unit re-creates the detailed information about the event based on the changed environmental conditions at a timing designated by the user". Miike does not teach or suggest these features of claim 6.

Therefore, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

NEW CLAIM:

New claim 11 recites, "changing values forming the information by applying user specific data input by the user based on predetermined conditions of the information to customize the information with respect to the user", where the customized information including "the changed values of the information" is provided to the user via a terminal.

The above features of claim 11 are patentably distinguishable over Miike that is limited to searches based on users' prescribed words and providing excerpts without adapting the excerpts.

Therefore, new claim 11 is patentably distinguishable over Miike.

CONCLUSION:

Claims 1, 9 and 10 are amended herein, and new claim 11 is added. Thus, claims 1-11 are pending and under consideration.

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

If there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.


If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge

the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 9/23/15

By: 
J. Randall Beckers
Registration No. 30,358

1201 New York Ave, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501